

## UNIVERSITAS NEGERI PADANG FAKULTAS BAHASA DAN SENI ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION PROGRAM

	0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0							
				SYLLABUS				
	COURSE CODE COURSE		CREDITS	SEMESTER	<b>DESIGN DATE</b>			
			GROUP					
	Paper/Thesis	ING 1.82.2003		2	2	3 January 2019		
	Writing							
				Lecturer Designing Syllabus	Course Group	Head of Study		
					Coordinator	Program		
	OTORISASI (A	<b>AUTHORIZED PER</b>	SON)	Prof. Yenni Rozimela, M.Ed., Ph.D.	Prof. Yenni	Signature		
				Dr. Hamzah, M.A., M.M.	Rozimela, M.Ed.,			
				Dr. Refnaldi, M.Litt.	Ph.D			
	Learning Outc	omes	PLO					
	PLO1			Demonstrate the knowledge of English language skills in listening, speaking,				
	reading, and writing by having learners engage in a range of communicative t			nunicative tacks				
				reading, and writing by having learners engage in a range of communicative tasks				
				and activities.				
I		I		I				

PLO 2	Expand the learners' use of lexicogrammatically correct and situationally
	appropriate language in speaking and writing for effective communication in a
	variety of interpersonal and academic situations.
PLO 3	Communicate language teaching, applied linguistics and educational work in a
	variety of written formats.
CLO	
CLO 1	Students are able to select a good and manageable topic for a paper and a
	problem for a thesis
CLO 2	Students are able to write a provocative and argumentative background of a
	paper and a thesis
CLO 3	Students are able to formulate research questions well.
CLO 4	Students are able to select relevant related literature and write it in a paper
	and a thesis
CLO 5	Students are able to elaborate a proposition of a paper well
CLO 6	Students are able to write a methodology chapter of a thesis
CLO 7	Students are able to write an abstract of a paper and thesis
CLO 8	Students are able to write bibliography accurately

Course Description	This course is aimed to provide students with knowledge and skills of writing a paper and a thesis. To
	reach this purpose of paper writing, the students are exposed to examples and analysis of some selected
	papers, and are given opportunities to practice parts of a paper. For the nature of this subject classroom
	activities are skill-practice oriented. Explanation is given in accordance with students' needs in time.
	For thesis writing, the materials are mainly limited to thesis proposal writing. The students practice
	writing part by part of a thesis proposal.
Materials/Topics	<ol> <li>The notion of paper</li> <li>Selecting and narrowing down a topic/problem</li> <li>Writing a good background of a paper</li> <li>Searching for allocating related literature</li> <li>Writing a discussion part of a paper</li> <li>Selecting and limiting a problem of a study for a thesis</li> <li>Writing a good background of a thesis</li> <li>Writing the identification the problem, research questions, and significance of the problem</li> <li>Writing related literature (selecting relevant literature, quoting, paraphrasing, and reviewing)</li> <li>Writing methodology chapter</li> <li>Organizing and presenting data</li> <li>Writing a discussion</li> <li>Writing a conclusion and suggestion part</li> <li>Bibliography</li> </ol>

References	Main:				
	1. Gill, C.M. (2	] 2014)  Writina Skills for College Re	yond. Ohio: Writer's Digest Books.		
		2. Wallwork, A. (2011). English for Writing Research Papers. New York: Springer			
		. , ,			
	3. Fournier, P	Paul. (2004). Strategies for Correct	Writing. New York: Pearson Education, Inc.		
	4. Kadesch, M	largot C, Ellen D. Kolba, and Sheila	a C. Crowell. (1991). Insights into Academic Writing:		
	Strategies j	for Advanced Students. Massachus	etts: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc.		
	5. Langan, J. (	(2005). College Writing Skills (6th	ed.). Boston: McGraw Hill.		
	Supporting:				
	1. Evans, D ar	nd P. Gruba (2002). How to Write	a Better Thesis (2nd). Mellbourne: Melbourne		
	University	Press.			
	2. Paltridge, I	B. and S. Starfield (2007). Thesis a	nd Dissertation Writing in a Second Language: a		
	handbook	for supervisors. New Yoork: Rout	ledge.		
Media		Software:	Hardware:		
	-		Laptop, LCD, Board		
Team Teaching	Yenni Rozimela, Re	efnaldi, Hamzah	1		
Prerequisite		Paragraph Writing, Acader	nic Writing, Article Writing		

Week	Lesson Learning Outcome	Indicators	Method of Assessment	Methods of Learning	Materials	Grading point
1	<ul> <li>The students understand the nature of a paper</li> <li>The students are able to select a topic dealing with TEFL to be developed into a paper</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>ability to compare a paper with another</li> <li>ability to select a topic for a paper</li> </ul>	• Process-based	• Lecture • Discussion	<ul> <li>Introduction to the course outline</li> <li>the nature of a paper</li> <li>selecting a topic for a paper</li> </ul>	2
2	<ul> <li>The students are able to narrow down a topic for a paper</li> <li>The students are able to show the newness of their selected topic</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>ability to narrow down the selected topic</li> <li>ability to write a statement showing the novelty of their paper</li> </ul>	Performance	• Inquiry • Discussion	<ul> <li>narrowing a topic</li> <li>making a topic</li> <li>interesting and</li> <li>manageable</li> </ul>	3
3	<ul> <li>The students are able to write a provoking introductory paragraph</li> <li>The students are able to determine and write the niche in the background of a paper</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>ability to write a provoking and interesting paragraph introduction</li> <li>ability to write a statement indicating the niche of the paper</li> </ul>	• Performance • Process-based	• Problembased	• writing a good background	3

4	<ul> <li>The students are able to write a well organized background in appropriate structure and correct grammar</li> <li>The students are able to use appropriate technical and generic terms</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>ability to write a well-organized background</li> <li>ability to use correct grammar</li> <li>ability to use appropriate diction</li> </ul>	Performance     Written task	• Task-based • GBA	writing a good background (continuation of the prev. topic meeting)	5
5	<ul> <li>The students are able to select and classify related supporting references</li> <li>The students are able to determine quotes for direct and indirect ones</li> <li>The students are able to write paraphrases meaningfully and grammatically correct</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>ability to select related references</li> <li>ability to write paraphrases that are meaningfully and grammatically correct</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Performance</li><li>Process</li><li>Written task</li></ul>	Task-based	Selecting and allocating related literature     a brief review of paraphrasing and reviewing literature	5
6	<ul> <li>The students are able to organize the quotes in the review of related section</li> <li>The students are able to write their review on the quoted literature</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>ability to organize the quotes logically</li> <li>ability to write effective review of the quotes</li> </ul>	• Written task	-Task-based	• writing a review literature (continuation of the prev. topic meeting)	5
7	<ul> <li>The students are able to divide the implementation or the discussion part of a paper logically</li> <li>The students are able generate the main point of each sub-part</li> </ul>	ability to determine the sub- headings/parts of the discussion part	<ul><li>Process</li><li>Written task</li></ul>	• Task-Based	• Elaborating a proposition (the proposed idea) of a paper	3

		ability to make a detailed outline of each sub-division				
8	<ul> <li>The students are able to write the implementation or the discussion part of the proposition in a good structure</li> <li>The students are able to use correct grammar and appropriate vocabulary</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>ability to develop the detailed outline of the implementation/d iscussion part of a paper</li> <li>ability to use correct grammar</li> <li>ability to use appropriate diction</li> <li>ability to use generic terms</li> </ul>	• Written task		Elaborating a proposition (the proposed idea) of a paper	8
9	<ul> <li>The students are able to answer the mid-term test question</li> </ul>		Mid-Tem	Test		8
10	<ul> <li>The students are able to select and narrow down a research problem</li> <li>The students are able to formulate the research questions</li> <li>The students are able to write the research questions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>ability to select a research problem</li> <li>ability to narrow down the selected research problem</li> <li>ability to write the research questions</li> </ul>	• Process • Written task	Task-Based	<ul> <li>Selecting and narrowing a research problem</li> <li>Writing formulation and research questions</li> </ul>	5
11	<ul> <li>The students are able to determine parts of the review of related literature</li> <li>The students are able to paraphrase and review</li> </ul>	ability to     determine parts     of the review of     literature of a     thesis	<ul><li>Process</li><li>Performance</li><li>Written task</li></ul>	Task-based	<ul> <li>Outlining the review of related literature</li> <li>More review on quoting,</li> </ul>	8

		<ul> <li>ability to paraphrase</li> <li>ability to write good review</li> <li>ability write grammatically correct review of related literature</li> </ul>			paraphrasing, and reviewing	
12	• The students are able to elaborate every part of chapter 3 well	• ability to write every part of chapter 3 in accordance with predetermined criteria	• Process • Performance	GBA	Writing the methodology chapter	10
13	• The students are able to use appropriate and accurate language of Chapter 3	• ability to us grammar and generic terms accurately	• Written task	GBA	Writing the methodology chapter	10
14	• The students are able to write an abstract of a thesis	• ability to write a concise abstract	• Written task	GBA	• Writing an abstract	5
15	The students are able to write the bibliography following a certain standard/system	• ability to the bibliography based on a standardized system (APA or MLA)	• Written task	Task-Based	Bibliography	5
16	The students are able to revise and edit their proposal	ability to revise and edit their proposal	• Process • Written task	GBA	• Revision and Edition	5

### **Evaluation/Assessment**

#### 1. Weekly-written assignments or exercises

**Objective** : Small tasks are given to practice every topic or parts of a thesis such as writing a good title, formulation of the problems, and an abstract.

**Evaluation criteria**: Based on Holistic Scoring Rubric

Indicators	100-81	80-61	60-41	40-0
Quality of Ideas	Very relevant, complete,	Relevant, clear, and	Rather relevant, clear	Irrelevant, vague,
	clear	complete	enough, incomplete	incomplete
Organization of ideas	Well organized	Somewhat organized	Less organized	Not organized
Language	No grammar mistakes	A few grammar	Some grammar	Many grammar
	and appropriate diction	mistakes and few	mistakes and word	mistakes and frequent
		inappropriate words	choice	in appropriate diction

#### 2. Mid-Term Test

**Objective** : The students are required to write a background of a research problem based on a given case. They should include important aspects of a good background (setting the problem/territory, a review of important previous research or current relevant phenomenon, statements indicating the niche, and thesis statement)

**Evaluation Criteria**: Bsed on Holistic Scoring Rubric

Indicators	100-81	80-61	60-41	40-0
Organization	Very good organization	Good organization of	Lack of organization of	Problems with
	of the quotes provided	the quotes provided	the quotes provided	organization of the quotes provided
Review	Very relevant and	Relevant and quite	Somewhat relevant and	Irrelevant review
	critical review	critical review	critical review	

Language	No grammar mistakes and appropriate diction	A few grammar mistakes and few inappropriate words	Some grammar mistakes and word choice	Many grammar mistakes and frequent inappropriate diction
Mechanics	Accurate use of mechanics	A few mistakes of mechanics	Some mistakes of mechanics	Many mistakes of mechanics

#### 3. Final Test

**Objectives**: The students are assigned to write a report of a mini thesis. Every part is generated and discussed in accordance with the topics stated in the syllabus (course outline). Each student has had its own research topic in the third week. The data are the dummy ones.

**Evaluation Criteria**: based on Scoring Rubric

Indicators	100-81	80-61	60-41	40-0
Quality of ideas	-relevant with the topic	- relevant with the topic	- relevant with the topic	- not relevant with
	- attractive introduction	- quite good	- availability of	topic
	- availability of	introduction	introduction	- very limited preview
	elaborate ideas on	- availability of preview	- absence of relevant	of previous research
	previous relevant	of relevant research	research or niche	and current
	research or/and current	or/and current	- availability of thesis	phenomenon
	phenomenon	phenomenon	statement	- absence of niche
	- niche	- niche		- weak or no thesis
	- strong thesis	- relevant thesis		statement
	statement	statement		
Organization of ideas	-Accurate schematic	- Accurate schematic	- some problems of	- inaccurate schematic
	structure	structure	schematic structure	structure
	- coherent relationship	- a few problems of	- some problems of	- many problems of
	of sentences and	sentence and paragraph	sentence and paragraph	sentence and
	paragraphs	organization	organization	paragraph organization

Language	No grammar mistakes and appropriate diction	A few grammar mistakes and few inappropriate words	Some grammar mistakes and word choice	Many grammar mistakes and frequent inappropriate diction
Mechanics	Accurate use of mechanics	A few mistakes of mechanics	Some mistakes of mechanics	Many mistakes of mechanics

# 4. Final Project Scoring Rubric

Indicators	100-81	80-61	60-41	40-0
Indicators Quality of Ideas	-relevant ideas in each part of the report (background, review of related literature, method, finding, discussion, conclusion) - in depth elaboration ideas of each part -strong arguments and critical review and discussion - very good description - sound conclusion	-relevant ideas in each part of the report (background, review of related literature, method, finding, discussion, conclusion) - sufficient elaboration ideas of each part -quite strong arguments and critical review and discussion - good description - good conclusion	-some problems in terms of relevance of ideas in each part of the report (background, review of related literature, method, finding, discussion, conclusion) - limited elaboration ideas of each part -quite strong arguments and critical review and discussion - limited description - limited or irrelevant conclusion	-many and various problems in terms of relevance of ideas in each part of the report (background, review of related literature, method, finding, discussion, conclusion) -very limited elaboration ideas of each part -quite strong arguments and critical review and discussion -very limited description - irrelevant or no
				conclusion
Organization of ideas	-accurate schematic structure of each part	- a few problems of accuracy of schematic structure of each part	- some problems of accuracy of schematic structure of each part	- inaccurate schematic structure

	-well connected sentences and paragraphs	-well connected sentences and paragraphs	-some problems of sentences and paragraph connection	- many problems of sentence and paragraph connection
Language	No grammar mistakes and appropriate diction	A few grammar mistakes and few inappropriate words	Some grammar mistakes and word choice	Many grammar mistakes and frequent inappropriate diction
Completeness				
Mechanics	Accurate use of mechanics	A few mistakes of mechanics	Some mistakes of mechanics	Many mistakes of mechanics

## 5. Participation

Objective :Class and group work participation is encouraged and appreciated. Thus, it is also assessed.

**Evaluation criteria**: Scoring rubric

100-81	80-61	60-41	40-0
-Being very active	- Being Active	- Being less active	- Being inactive
-Giving Accurate response	-Giving Accurate response	- Giving less accurate response	- Being less collaborative
-Showing high initiative	- Being collaborative	- Being less collaborative	- Being less responsible
-Being very collaborative	-Being responsible	- Being less responsible	
-Being Responsible			

## B. Students' final grades are based on:

Mid-Test	20%
Final Test	30%
Assignment	25%
Project	15%
Classroom Participation	10%

Range	Grade
85-100	A
80-84	A-
75-79	B+
70-74	В
65-69	B-
60-64	C+
55-59	С
50-54	C-
40-49	D
<39	Е